## **Introduced by Senator Leslie**

February 18, 1998

An act to amend Section—646.9 1708.7 of the Civil Code, and to amend Sections 422, 646.9, and 653m of the Penal Code, relating to stalking.

## LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 1796, as amended, Leslie. Stalking: cyberstalking.

(1) Existing law provides that a person is liable for the tort of stalking when the defendant engaged in a pattern of conduct intended to follow, alarm, or harass, resulting in the plaintiff reasonably fearing for his or her safety or the safety of an immediate family member, where the defendant has either made a credible threat or violated a restraining order.

This bill would expand the definition of "credible threat" to include threats made electronically, whether over the Internet or by other means of electronic communication.

(2) Existing law prohibits the willful issuance of a threat to commit a crime which will result in the death or great bodily injury of another, with the specific intent that the statement be taken as a threat even if there is no actual intent of carrying out the crime, where the threat is so unequivocal, immediate, and specific so as to cause the recipient to reasonably be in sustained fear for his or her own safety or the safety of his or her immediate family.

This bill would clarify that this provision applies to threatening statements made verbally, in writing, or SB 1796 — 2 —

electronically, whether via the Internet or by other means of electronic communication.

(3) Existing law prohibits *stalking*, which is defined as the willful, malicious, and repeated following or harassing of another, where a credible threat, as defined, has been communicated to the victim with the intent of placing the victim in reasonable fear for his or her safety.

This bill would expand the definition of eredible threat "credible threat" to include threats communicated by electronic means—of a telecommunication device, whether via computer network or other means of electronic communication.

(4) Existing law prohibits, regardless of the good faith of the caller, the making of telephone calls to others with the intent to annoy, where the caller either uses obscene language or makes threats to the other parties person or property. Existing law also prohibits the repeated telephoning of another at the recipient's residence or, under certain circumstances, place of work, with the intent to annoy, except where the repeated telephoning is conducted in good faith.

This bill would expand these provisions to include the sending of electronic communications, and would apply a obscene good faith exception to and threatening communications. The bill would also provide that violations of this section may be deemed to have been committed where the telephone call was made or received, or in the case of electronic communications, the location from which the communication was sent, was received by the recipient's service provider, was first viewed by the recipient, or at the recipient's permanent address. By changing the definition of a expanding the scope of an existing crime, this bill would increase local prosecution and incarceration costs. therefore would impose a state-mandated local program.

<del>(2)</del>

(5) The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs Statutory mandated by the state. provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

**—3**— **SB 1796** 

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: yes.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

- SECTION 1. It is the intent of this act to clarify that 1 electronic communications are included in the actions that can constitute the crimes of harassment and stalking. 4 It is not the intent of the Legislature, by adoption of this act, to restrict in any way the types of conduct or actions that can constitute harassment or stalking.
- SEC. 2. Section 1708.7 of the Civil Code is amended 7 to read:
- 9 1708.7. (a) A person is liable for the tort of stalking 10 when the plaintiff proves all the following elements of the 11
- (1) The defendant engaged in a pattern of conduct the 13 intent of which was to follow, alarm, or harass the plaintiff. In order to establish this element, the plaintiff shall be required to support his or her allegations with 16 independent corroborating evidence.
- (2) As a result of that pattern of conduct, the plaintiff 18 reasonably feared for his or her safety, or the safety of an 19 immediate family member. For purposes of this 20 paragraph, "immediate family" means a spouse, parent, 21 child, any person related by consanguinity or affinity 22 within the second degree, or any person who regularly resides, or, within the six months preceding any portion of the pattern of conduct, regularly resided, in the plaintiff's household.
  - (3) One of the following:

12

17

25 26

27

(A) The defendant, as a part of the pattern of conduct 28 specified in paragraph (1), made a credible threat with 29 the intent to place the plaintiff in reasonable fear for his 30 or her safety, or the safety of an immediate family 31 member and, on at least one occasion, the plaintiff clearly and definitively demanded that the defendant cease and abate his or her pattern of conduct and the defendant persisted in his or her pattern of conduct.

SB 1796

5

6

17

21

23

30

33

34

35

defendant violated (B) The a restraining order. including, but not limited to, any order issued pursuant to Section 527.6 of the Code of Civil Procedure, prohibiting any act described in subdivision (a).

- (b) For the purposes of this section:
- (1) "Pattern of conduct" means conduct composed of a series of acts over a period of time, however short, evidencing a continuity of purpose. Constitutionally protected activity is not included within the meaning of 10 "pattern of conduct."
- (2) "Credible threat" means a verbal or written threat 12 made verbally, in writing, or electronically, whether via 13 *the* Internet or by other means of electronic 14 communication, or a threat implied by a pattern of conduct or a combination of verbal or written statements 16 made verbally, in writing, or electronically, whether via the Internet or byother means of electronic 18 communication, and conduct made with the intent and 19 the apparent ability to carry out the threat so as to cause 20 the person who is the target of the threat to reasonably fear for his or her safety or the safety of his or her 22 immediate family.
- (3) "Harass" means a knowing and willful course of 24 conduct directed at a specific person which seriously 25 alarms, annoys, torments, or terrorizes the person, and 26 which serves no legitimate purpose. The course of conduct must be such as would cause a reasonable person to suffer substantial emotional distress, and must actually cause substantial emotional distress to the person.
  - (c) A person who commits the tort of stalking upon another is liable to that person for damages, including, but not limited to, general damages, special damages, and punitive damages pursuant to Section 3294.
- (d) In an action pursuant to this section, the court may grant equitable relief, including, but not limited to, an 36 injunction.
- (e) The rights and remedies provided in this section 37 38 are cumulative and in addition to any other rights and remedies provided by law.

**—5—** SB 1796

(f) This section shall not be construed to impair any constitutionally protected activity, including, limited to, speech, protest, and assembly.

1

5

6

21

22

28

29

30

37

38

- SEC. 3. Section 422 of the Penal Code is amended to read:
- 422. Any person who willfully threatens to commit a crime which will result in death or great bodily injury to another person, with the specific intent that the statement, made verbally, in writing, or electronically, 10 whether via the Internet or by other means of electronic communication, is to be taken as a threat, even if there is no intent of actually carrying it out, which, on its face and 12 under the circumstances in which it is made, is so 14 unequivocal, unconditional, immediate, and specific as to convey to the person threatened, a gravity of purpose and 16 an immediate prospect of execution of the threat, and thereby causes that person reasonably to be in sustained 17 18 fear for his or her own safety or for his or her immediate family's safety, shall be punished by imprisonment in the county jail not to exceed one year, or by imprisonment in the state prison.

For the purposes of this section, "immediate family" 23 means any spouse, whether by marriage or not, parent, child, any person related by consanguinity or affinity within the second degree, or any other person who regularly resides in the household, or who, within the prior six months, regularly resided in the household.

- SEC. 4. Section 646.9 of the Penal Code is amended to
- 646.9. (a) Any person who willfully, maliciously, and repeatedly follows or harasses another person and who makes a credible threat with the intent to place that person in reasonable fear for his or her safety, or the safety 34 of his or her immediate family, is guilty of the crime of stalking, punishable by imprisonment in a county jail for 36 not more than one year or by a fine of not more than one thousand dollars (\$1,000), or by both that fine and imprisonment, or by imprisonment in the state prison.
- 39 (b) Any person who violates subdivision (a) when there is a temporary restraining order, injunction, or any

SB 1796 -6-

5

9

10

12

15

17

23

28

29

37

other court order in effect prohibiting the behavior described in subdivision (a) against the same party, shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison for two, three, or four years.

- (c) Every person who, having been convicted of a 6 felony under this section, commits a second or subsequent this section shall be punished imprisonment in the state prison for two, three, or four
- (d) In addition to the penalties provided in section, sentencing court may order a person convicted of a felony under this section to register as a sex offender pursuant to subparagraph (E) of paragraph (2) 14 of subdivision (a) of Section 290.
- (e) For the purposes of this section, "harasses" means 16 a knowing and willful course of conduct directed at a specific person that seriously alarms, annoys, torments, or 18 terrorizes the person, and that serves no legitimate 19 purpose. This course of conduct must be such as would 20 cause a reasonable person to suffer substantial emotional 21 distress, and must actually cause substantial emotional 22 distress to the person.
- (f) For purposes of this section, "course of conduct" 24 means a pattern of conduct composed of a series of acts 25 over a period of time, however short, evidencing a continuity of purpose. Constitutionally protected activity is not included within the meaning of "course of conduct."
- (g) For the purposes of this section, "credible threat" 30 means a verbal or written threat, a threat communicated 31 by means of a telecommunications device, or a threat a threat made verbally, in writing, 32 means electronically, whether via computer network or by other 34 means of electronic communication, or a threat implied 35 by a pattern of conduct or a combination of verbal—or 36 written. written. or electronically communicated statements and conduct made with the intent to place the 38 person that is the target of the threat in reasonable fear 39 for his or her safety or the safety of his or her family and made with the apparent ability to carry out the threat so

**—7—** SB 1796

as to cause the person who is the target of the threat to reasonably fear for his or her safety or the safety of his or her family. It is not necessary to prove that the defendant had the intent to actually carry out the threat. The present incarceration of a person making the threat shall not be a bar to prosecution under this section.

- (h) This section shall not apply to conduct that occurs during labor picketing.
- (i) If probation is granted, or the execution or 10 imposition of a sentence is suspended, for any person convicted under this section, it shall be a condition of probation that the person participate in counseling, as designated by the court. However, the court, upon a 14 showing of good cause, may find that the counseling requirement shall not be imposed.
- (j) The sentencing court also shall consider issuing an order restraining the defendant from any contact with 18 the victim, that may be valid for up to 10 years, as determined by the court. It is the intent of the Legislature that the length of any restraining order be based upon the seriousness of the facts before the court, the probability of future violations, and the safety of the victim and his or her immediate family.
- (k) For purposes of this section, "immediate family" 25 means any spouse, parent, child, any person related by consanguinity or affinity within the second degree, or any other person who regularly resides in the household, or who, within the prior six months, regularly resided in the household.
- (1) The court shall consider whether the defendant 31 would benefit from treatment pursuant to Section 2684. 32 If it is determined to be appropriate, the court shall recommend that the Department of Corrections make a 34 certification as provided in Section 2684. Upon 35 certification, the defendant shall be evaluated 36 transferred to the appropriate hospital for treatment pursuant to Section 2684.
- 38 SEC. 2.

9

12 13

15

16

17

23

24

30

37

39 SEC. 5. Section 653m of the Penal Code is amended 40 to read:

SB 1796 **—8** —

9

10

12

15

17

18

28

29

32

33

653m. (a) Every person who, with intent to annoy, telephones or sends electronic communication to another and addresses to or about the other person any obscene 4 language or addresses to the other person any threat to inflict injury to the person or property of the person addressed or any member of his or her family, is guilty of a misdemeanor. Nothing in this subdivision shall apply to telephone calls or electronic communications made in good faith.

- (b) Every person who makes repeated telephone calls or sends repeated electronic communications with intent to annoy another person at his or her residence, is, whether or not conversation ensues from making the telephone call or sending the electronic communication, guilty of a misdemeanor. Nothing in this subdivision shall 16 apply to telephone calls or electronic communications made in good faith.
- (c) Every person who makes repeated telephone calls 19 or sends repeated electronic communications with the 20 intent to annoy another person at his or her place of work is guilty of a misdemeanor punishable by a fine of not 22 more than one thousand dollars (\$1,000), imprisonment in a county jail for not more than one year, or by both the fine and imprisonment. Nothing in this subdivision shall apply to telephone calls or electronic communications made in good faith. This subdivision applies only if one or both of the following circumstances exist:
- (1) There is a temporary restraining 30 injunction, or any other court order, or any combination of these court orders, in effect prohibiting the behavior described in this section.
- (2) The person makes repeated telephone calls or 34 sends repeated electronic communications with 35 intent to annoy another person at his or her place of work, 36 totaling more than 10 times in a 24-hour period, whether or not conversation ensues from making the telephone call or sending the electronic communication, and the repeated telephone calls or electronic communications are made to the workplace of an adult or fully

**—9— SB 1796** 

emancipated minor who is a spouse, former spouse, cohabitant, former cohabitant, or person with whom the person has a child or has had a dating or engagement relationship or is having a dating or engagement relationship.

5

6

17

19

21

23

24

28

29

31

32

- (d) Any offense committed by use of a telephone—as provided in this section may be deemed to have been committed at either the place at which the telephone call or calls were made or at the place where the telephone 10 eall or ealls were received may be deemed to have been committed where the telephone call was made 12 received. Any offense committed by use of an electronic 13 communication device or medium, including 14 Internet, may be deemed to have been committed where 15 the electronic communication was (1) originally sent; (2) 16 received by the recipient's electronic communications service provider; (3) first viewed by the recipient; or (4) 18 at the recipient's permanent residence.
- (e) Subdivision (a), (b), or (c) is violated when the 20 person acting with intent to annoy makes a telephone call requesting a return call and performs the acts prohibited under subdivision (a), (b), or (c) upon receiving the return call.
- (f) If probation is granted, or the execution 25 imposition of sentence is suspended, for any person convicted under this section, the court may order as a condition of probation that the person participate in counseling.
- (g) "Electronic communication" has the 30 meaning as the term defined in Section 2510 of Title 18 of the United States Code Annotated.
- SEC. 6. No reimbursement is required by this act pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California 34 Constitution because the only costs that may be incurred 35 by a local agency or school district will be incurred 36 because this act creates a new crime or infraction, 37 eliminates a crime or infraction, or changes the penalty
- 38 for a crime or infraction, within the meaning of Section 17556 of the Government Code, or changes the definition

**— 10 — SB 1796** 

- 1 of a crime within the meaning of Section 6 of Article
- 2 XIII B of the California Constitution.
- Notwithstanding Section 17580 of the Government Code, unless otherwise specified, the provisions of this act
- 5 shall become operative on the same date that the act
- 6 takes effect pursuant to the California Constitution.